

REMARKS

Applicant canceled claims 4, 5 and 9, and Applicant amended claim 1 for clarity, in accordance with Examiner's comments 4 and 5, all without prejudice, to facilitate further examination and allowance of this Application.

Applicant reserves its right to reintroduce claims essentially corresponding to the canceled claims or previously canceled claims, upon indication by the Examiner of allowable subject matter.

35 USC 103

Applicant expressly reincorporates Applicant's comments filed in the Responses of 10/05/2006 and 03/19/2007.


To the extent that any art rejection continues to be applied, reconsideration is respectfully requested. Applicant believes neither Carrig nor Zhu, applied either singly or in combination, teaches, discloses or suggests "attempting to place a horizontal SCB, then attempting to place a vertical SCB in a central location when a horizontal SCB will not fit in said central location", let alone in combination with the remaining elements of claim 1 (as amended).

Further, Applicant believes the Examiner's combination of Carrig and Zhu with Bergeron is too tenuous, and is combined by the Examiner with the benefit only of hindsight.

Entry and allowance of claim 1 is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Geetha Arthanari et al.

By:


Joseph P. Abate
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 30,238
Telephone No. 845-894-4633
Fax No. 845-892-6363

11 Sept. 2007